Previous references.
S&A 793 of 1903-04

File No.
6 of 03-04

1904

(S & A)

Later references.
60 of 07 ss 1, 2, 7 & 8 Fld Accts
Aug 12 Sec 3 & 4 Augt
S&A 1-15 & 78 of 16 Dec

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 4

PART B FOR November

SUBJECT.

Mileage to establishments accompanying Jamabandi officers.

FILE No. 6 of 1903-04.

Mileage to Establishments accompanying Officers engaged on Jamabandi work.

1 From the Comptroller, No. 6427-8—T. A. 87, dated 13th May 1904.
Submits for the orders of Government the question whether mileage can be allowed in the case of establishments accompanying Officers engaged on Jamabandi work.

2 To the Comptroller, No. 36[illegible], dated 18th July 1904.
Requests him to ascertain the practice in British India in similar circumstances.

3 From the Comptroller, No. T. A. 17, dated 27th July 1904.
States that there is no rule in the Government of India Civil Service Regulations corresponding to Art. 565 of the Mysore Service Regulations.

4 To the Comptroller, No. 2793, dated the 15th November 1904.
States that establishments accompanying Officers engaged on Jamabandi work are not entitled to mileage in the absence of absolute necessity to travel rapidly.

G. R. S. 58.

5360-61—P. & A. 550, dated 15th October 1906.

Office Note.

Mysore Government
General & Revenue Secretariat.

File No. (if any)

Branch PLA. Sch 6-03

Directions.—

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Subject.

Letter No. 6427-28 / 7/a 87 with no date, received in the Secretariat on the 14th May 1904 from the Comptroller to the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore in re mileage to establishments accompanying officers engaged on jamabandi duty.

Forwards copy of letter No. C 1394 d. 13th April 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar Dt and solicits instructions as to the circumstances under which art: 565 of the M. S. Regulations is applicable.

The standing orders on the subject are denoted by flags W to Z in the linked file. The originals of orders Y & Z are denoted by U and V.

~~Sub-joined~~. Those orders read. DMO 1 vide Article 565 of the 20/5/04 Mysore Service Regulations are so clear that the present reference was uncalled for. The Comptroller is to make an attempt to differentiate

the Establishments accompanying Officers engaged on Jamabandi either (1) for Jamabandi work or (2) other works unconnected with Jamabandi or (3) both for Jamabandi & other work, while Article 565 refers to Establishments accompanying Officers engaged in Jamabandi & not to Establishments ~~accompanying Officers to~~ doing different kinds of work.

On whatever work the Establishment may be employed, it seems sufficient ~~is enough~~ for purposes of Article 565 that such Establishment accompanied an Officer engaged on Jamabandi work.

Submitted for orders

JB
25/5/04

Put before me to-day.
Please put up draft reply
in Consultation with the Asst
Sec for Rev. JD 24.6.1904

2. Letter No. H 232 d: 18th July 1904 to the Comptroller in Mysore enquiring what the practice is in British India as regard the grant of mikoor to establishments accompanying officers engaged on Jamabandi duty

3. Letter No. 608 d: the 27th July 1904 from the Comptroller in Mysore stating that there is no rule in the British civil Service Regulations corresponding to art: 565 of the M. S. Regulations

Subs for orders.

4/8/04

When was the article introduced into the Regulations? Are there any ref connected with its insertion? The question now raised has to be answered with reference to the object with which the ~~article~~ rule was passed & therefore it is necessary to refer to the circumstances under which the ruling was passed by Govt.

Asst Sup[t] 11/8/04.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S&R File No. 6 of 1903-04

Serial No.

The article has been taken from the Mysore travelling allce code and ~~embodied~~ embodied in the Regulations: (Please see rule 1 art: 35 of the travelling allce code herewith Subd). All possible ~~search~~ search was made to trace refes, if any, but in vain.

Subd.

DM 22/10/04

Please put up a draft to say that in the absence of absolute necessity to travel rapidly established for the satisfaction of Govt, Establishments accompanying officers employed on Jamabandy duty are not entitled to mileage and that the fact the Establishment was employed on the Jamabandy will make no difference in the case- Mark 28/10/04

Draft submitted to Secy mark 2/11/04

DM 2-11-04 9 pm

4. To the Comptroller.
No. 2793 of the 15/11/04.
States that establishments accompanying officers engaged on Jamabandy work are not entitled to mileage in the absence of absolute necessity to travel rapidly.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-4-04.

(1) 1904

From

H. Douglas Esquire.
Offg Comptroller

To.

L. Swanborough Esq
Offg Secretary
Post Office Department.

Subject

Submitting for orders
a draft copy of No.
1394 from Offg Comptroller
Victoria stating instructions
as to the circumstances
under which Article
565 of the Magazine
Service Regulations
is applicable to
Staff Accountant
Officers employed
in Canada

Ottawa File No: 6 of 1903.
H.D.
10/5

Pla 1661.

No. 5&D 6427-28
3/a 87

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
14 MAY 1904
GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Office of the Comptroller
Mysore Government,
Bangalore, Dated 13 May 1904.

To

L.Anantasamy Rao, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of Government copy of letter No.Q1384 dated 13/14th Ultimo, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District seeking instructions as to the circumstances under which Art:365 of the Mysore Service Regulations is applicable. Establishments may accompany officers engaged on jumabandy either for jumabandy work or for a different work altogether or for both jumabandy and other work. The question now raised is if the restriction imposed by the Article applies to all these three cases and if not to all, to which of the three. There is no doubt about the first case as it satisfies all the conditions of the article. The second is not however so clear. In so far as the Establishment accompanies an officer on jumabandy work it would come under the article but it is doubtful if the fact of its being employed on a work other than jumabandy, takes the case out of the Article. The third case is more complex still. It is difficult to separate the journeys performed in the interests of different works. It may happen that both jumabandy and other work may be performed at the same place or at different places and to which of the journeys mileage should be desired and to which allowed is sometimes difficult to decide. I request that the orders of Government may be obtained on the points.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg:Comptroller.

1903-04.

From

K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

Henry Eagles Esquire
Offg Comptroller to the Government
of Mysore

No. C. 1394. Dy 13th April 1904

Sir,

I have the honor to state that on certain Establishment Travelling Allowance Bills received from the subordinate officers, it was noticed that mileage had been charged for the officials who accompanied the officer engaged on Jamabandi duty under Article 565 of the Mysore Service Regulations such mileages were disallowed before they were sent up to your office for audit, and they were, accordingly audited for the amount passed by this office

It is now urged by some of the Assistant Commissioners that the officials for whom mileage was claimed were exclusively engaged on other than Jamabandy work, and that the mileage claimed on their behalf should therefore be passed

As I feel doubtful if an exception can be made in the case of some

of the Officials who accompany Officers going on Scandalous work and claim mileage, I request that you will be so good as to instruct me as to how they should be dealt with

I have &c

(signed) K. P. Puttann Shetty

Dy. Commissioner

Polar Dist.

Copy

H. Eagles

Asst Comptroller

T.C.G.

(2)

G.R.S. 26] for

S/B File No. 6-03
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to P&a 166

No. Fc. 332

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Financial)
, *the* 190 .

To The Comptroller in Mysore

Date of issue 18th July '06

Signed by M. A. Krishna Rao.

Sir

Adverting to your letter No. S.a D.6427-28 7/a 87 dated the 14th May 1904 soliciting instructions as to whether the restriction imposed by art: 565 of the Mysore Service Regulns, applies to establishments equally in all cases whether they accompany officers engaged on jamabandy or jamabandy work or to a different work

over.

SUBJECT.

enquiring what the practice is in British India as regards the grant of mileage to establishments accompanying officers engaged on jamabandy.

altogether or for both jamna
-bandy and other work
and if not to all, to
which of the three, I am
directed to enquire what
the practice is in British
India, ~~under~~ in similar
circumstances.

I have etc

~~12/7/1902~~
13/7/1902
~~12/7/02~~
for offg Secy

DMB
10/7/02

Submitted to the Secy
Imm
12/7/02

List of enclosures,

— Nil —

Copied by G Mathews

Examined by

MGCPB—5,000—20-5-04

11 Dec 28

(3) H. Diary No. 374

6

Special Audit DEPARTMENT. S.M. 6-03

608

Lra-all-17.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 27 July 1904

From H. Eagles Esquire

Offg COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To B. K. Venkatavaradan Iyengar Esquire

Offg SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General & Revenue Depts.

SIR,

In reference to your letter No 41 332 dated 18th July 04 enquiring what the practice is in British India Re-mileage to establishments accompanying Officers engaged on Jamabandy, I have the honor to state that there is no Rule in the Government of India Civil Service Regulations corresponding to Article 565 of the Mysore Service Regulations.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

H. Eagles

Offg Comptroller.

Special Audit DEPARTMENT.

190 4

From

Henry Eagles, Esq.,

THE COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT.

To B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar, Esq.

THE SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,

Gene. & Rev. Dept.

Dated

Despatched 28 July, 1904

Received

No. 608

T. J. No. 17

SUBJECT MATTER

Stating that there is no rule in the Govt. of India Civil Service Regulations corresponding to art. 565 of the Mysore Service Regulations.

(4)

G.R.S. 28] B

S.R. File No. 6-03

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 374

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(II)

Dated the 15th November 1904

Date of issue do

Signed by Mr. Min Krishnan

READ.—

Letter No. 6427-28 A. 7/a 87 the 14th May 1904 from the Comptroller to the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore forwarding copy of letter No. C 1394 dated the 13th April 1904 from the Deputy Commr. of the Kolar Dt. ~~and Collectors~~ enquiring if the clerks that accompany Officers on ~~instructions~~ do to their Jamabandi duty not on jamabandi work ~~circumstances under~~ & other work are prevented under ~~which~~ Sch. 565 of the Mysore Service Regulations ~~is applicable~~ from drawing Mileage. —

over

SUBJECT

8

2. Letter No. 608 of the 27th July 1904 from the same officer stating (in reply) that there is no rule in the British Civil Service Regulations corresponding to (the said) Clause 565 of the M. S. Regulation

Order thereon. No. H. 2793 SIA 6-03 dt 15 Nov 1904

The Govt of H. H. the Maharaja are pleased to direct that in the absence of absolute necessity to travel rapidly, established to the satisfaction of Govt, establishments accompanying officers engaged on Jamabandy duty are not entitled to mileage and that the fact the establishment was engaged on other than Jamabandi work makes no difference in the case

4.11.1904

Secy to the Comptroller

2/11